

Explanatory Notes for PEXI spreadsheets

There are many assertions made about the FIP. Some are undoubtedly true, and others false. The fact is that we lack facts.

It is hoped that publishing data will help people to make up their own minds and argue from an informed position.

These four Excel spreadsheets analyse all FIP Exhibitions from 2003 to 2018 (Prague). Please use, analyse, comment and ask for further information.

Number of Exhibitions in period	Check tabs at foot of table	
Column C	Number of Exhibits accepted	
Column D	Number of Frames accepted	
Column F	Number of qualifying FIP Exhibits	
Column G	General Threshold for Commissioner Credentials	
Column H	Special Threshold for Commissioner Credentials	
Column I	Exhibits Offered / General Threshold x Number of Exhibitions	
Column J	Exhibits Offered / Special Threshold x Number of Exhibitions	Above 100% over-achieving Under 100% under-achieving
Column L	Number of Jury assignments in period	
Column M	Number of National Exhibits per Juror assignment	
Column N – PEXI-1	Column M Ordered	
Column O	Number of National Frames per Juror assignment	
Column P – PEXI-2	Column O Ordered	

The figures should be treated with a certain amount of caution. They are taken from the published Palmares booklets from each exhibition.

Some factors to take into account:

1. There is generally an advantage to any host country, largely because of proximity to exhibitors from that country.
2. An exhibition may be skewed because it is a specialist event lacking certain classes.
3. A jury needs specialist jurors and these may be available only from one country and not from another.
4. There may be errors in transcription of data.
5. There may be errors in the original data.

It is expected that these data sets will be improved with the addition of classes in the next three months, and jury members will be listed by assignment. Please advise any errors or queries to info@fotfip.online